

**Joint Building Committee Meeting  
SAU 56 Conference Room**

---

**May 9<sup>th</sup> 2016**

**4:00 pm**

- 1. Call to Order:** Bolduc called the meeting to order at 4:00pm and the following members were present: Bolduc, Gibson, Dumont and Messier. Also present was Tom Daniels, Marie D'Agostino, Katelyn Carrington, and Lori Lane.
- 2. Approval Of Minutes:** A motion made by Messier seconded by Gibson to approve the minutes from May 2<sup>nd</sup> 2016. VOTE: Motion passed, unanimously.
- 3. Update Cost:** D'Agostino handed out a cost update to the committee. She stated they looked at actual cost on certain items on the owner side and originally we had \$20,000 for the storage pods and it is actually \$10,000 from now until the end of the year so we added the other \$10,000 back to the owner's contingency. She stated that under item B building it shows the contract with North Branch in the amount of \$5,159,438 which is the project cost. She stated that because the roof was added to the project they added in the \$371,000 so it is a positive under North Branch but the district is paying for it so it is an in and out. She stated that in the North Branch contingency they had \$40,000 for the patchwork for the roof so they credited us back that money. She stated that it was put into the owner's contingency. She stated that in the North Branch contingency they started with \$346,645 and listed there is what has been taken out to date which totals \$222,200 which leaves a balance of \$124,445. She stated that the owner's contingency started with \$266,012 and listed is what has been taken out to date and added back in and that totals \$85,201 which leaves a balance of \$180,811. She stated that today the committee approved \$2,606 for new light fixtures at corridor 103 and stair 141. She stated that the back of the page shows the project related costs and there has been no change except for the credits added back. She stated that the total project is still at \$6.5 million and that won't change. Messier stated that the North Branch contingency isn't ours to spend. D'Agostino stated no. Messier stated that at the end it will be our money. Gibson stated that in regards to the internal excavation were the plans we had that far off. Daniels stated that the plans were in the general location but the depth was significantly different than the plan. Gibson asked about the electrical conduits at the roof deck for \$20,000. Daniels stated that when it was decided to replace the roof the existing conduits were attached to the roof deck and they have to be relocated and rerun all the electrical lines. Bolduc stated that they used to have runways run cabling through them and asked if they still do those anymore. Daniels stated that they use conduits or groupings of exposed bundled wires that they tack to places and the codes say where and when you can do that but schools don't usually want exposed wires in classrooms.
- 4. RFP's Auto:** Lane stated that she gave them a general breakdown of the time frame and who the bidders were. She stated that Snap On was lacking in a number of the requested pieces and they decided that they were looking for more details so they decided to disqualify them for the incomplete bid. She stated that not everyone bid on every piece so they created a sheet and they tried to go through and compare them apples to apples. She stated that some of the bids quoted lesser quality equipment and they wanted to make sure that they went with industry standard items so it is not always the lowest bid that they picked and they sometimes went with the higher priced items. She stated that the teacher and I reviewed the bids and we are recommending two different vendors for the items. We are recommending the items listed from Lappen's Garage Equipment in the amount of \$93,058.79 and the items listed from Global Equipment in the amount of \$14,759.48. She stated that there are also three items that nobody bid on numbers 15, 17 and 18 and she is asking for an allowance of \$3,000 for those items to purchase separately for a grand total of \$110,818.27. She stated that shipping is included in the price and that is right within the ball park figure. Gibson asked who will handle installation. Lane stated that she will have a conversation with the rep and the electricians

and North Branch. She stated that for the culinary equipment we needed to bring in an engineer from Perkins to do a defined layout and she will meet with North Branch once it is done and have the gas folks, and electricians around the table to work through the details. Gibson stated that we have no idea what installation will cost us. D'Agostino stated that number 19 asked if there is any additional shipping and set up and it was zero. Lane stated that the only thing not included in the price is any additional electrical that needs to be run. Gibson asked how many workstations there will be. Lane stated that we have 8 bays total. Gibson asked if we are reusing any lifts. Lane stated that we are reusing two lifts. Gibson stated that we will have four new lifts and reusing two. Lane stated yes. D'Agostino stated that Marty did come in and bring the catalog and she went through it but couldn't find anything specific that we had here. Dumont stated that it was late in the game but having experience owning a garage when you buy equipment and you look at prices they are all over the place. He stated that he went through item by item and located it and he looked at the best seller with Northern Tool and we have one in Somersworth which is the old tool crib and he doesn't know if they would have bid. He stated that the price that snap on gave it is the going price according to the specs but he is concerned where he sees a \$16,000 item versus a \$24,000 item. He stated that snap on is a national chain with local vendors in our area. He stated that he came up with \$23,000 in differences comparing the list taking the most expensive versus the cheapest bid for items 1,2,3,4 and 14. Gibson stated that the specific equipment listed such as the hunter RX1002T-1 is that what they have or is that what we bid. Lane stated that these are what we had in the bid but it all said or equivalent alternate. Gibson stated that he doesn't understand the \$8,000 price difference on that one item. Lane stated that they threw out the snap on bid because we received a one page document and it wasn't just about the pricing it was about the bid quality itself. She stated that Marie even called them to help them out because there were things that we didn't ask for. She stated that the bid was poorly constructed and we should consider that. Messier stated that they get the bid package they should do their homework. He stated that she conversed with the teacher on the industry standards and we want the best and newest technology that is out there and the teacher knows by the name what a good one is. Bolduc asked what the brand of the two post lifts. Lane stated Forward. Bolduc stated that there must be differences between the brands due to the price differences. Lane stated that they can be alternates. Dumont asked if there was a typo in item 6. Lane stated no it is \$1,524.36. Dumont asked if it was compatible. Lane stated that she doesn't know the equipment so she went by the teacher recommendation. Dumont stated that when he looked at the two post lift he didn't have an issue there. He stated on the scissor lift there is a lot of variety and he couldn't find anything to match up to the \$27,000. He stated that he did find a wheel balancer and the most expensive was \$7,000. He stated that a request for a quote is very different in this area to have compatibles in my opinion and we rely on the teacher and I don't know his credentials. He stated that when they leave a two year school and got to an industry school they are getting state of the art technology and we don't have that equipment at our school because it is cost prohibitive. He stated that he likes to think that students graduate from our auto program and get general knowledge. He stated that if this was his garage he wouldn't go out as an individual and he relied on snap on a lot. Messier stated that in my field we can't go directly to the vendor we have to go through the rep and this is a supplier who represents a bunch of different vendors. He stated that if we have questions maybe we could ask the teacher to come in. Lane stated that they worked together to create the specs with a consultant to help us. Dumont stated that if we had the potential to solicit a bid in Somersworth we missed the boat and we need to be more cognoscente in the future. He asked if there was any delay in waiting. Lane stated that the biggest concern is for North Branch to make sure that everything is ready in regards to infrastructure to support the equipment. She stated that we are turning the building over to them and we want to give them as much information as possible. Bolduc stated that the on car lathe is not highlighted and asked if we are not purchasing

that. Lane stated that it should be highlighted. She stated that she will have to check the math. Daniels stated that the amount is not included in the total. Bolduc stated that in regards to the waste oil tank, a 500 gallon tank is a big tank. How many oil changes do they do? Dumont asked that they talk to John to clarify that. Messier stated that there is a certain amount that the EPA says you can leave in the tank and then you wait on a company to come and recycle it. Lane stated that she will clarify that. Bolduc asked if they have something designed in the building that allows for that. Daniels stated that he would need to look at the plans. Gibson asked if the exhaust system is the old fashioned kind where they pipe it out. Daniels stated that it has been designed in the HVAC system. Lane stated that they verified the number for Lappen and the figure should be \$103,113. She stated that we could amend the proposal and leave off the last one until we clarify the waste oil tank. The total then would be \$117,873 for both Lappen and Global. A motion made by Messier seconded by Dumont to approve the proposals from Lappen and Global as presented for a total of \$117,873. VOTE: motion passed, unanimously. Messier asked if there are other bid items that are this large. Lane stated that the theatre is a complicated one and there is a lot of coordination that needs to go into that. Bolduc stated that a lot of work is going on over there and the project is going along good. Lane stated that they are continuing to go through the equipment list. The biggest areas that they are dealing with is infrastructure such as wiring, clocks and cameras. She stated that it is amazing how many players need to be at the table and they have received a ton of support from Steve from Back Bay. She stated that she has been running her own punch list so that she can keep everything straight. Gibson stated that on the list of outstanding alternates is a water line for the coffee brewer and asked why it was so expensive. Lane stated that they are still looking into that which is why it hasn't moved forward.

**5. Other:** Lane stated that at some point we need to deal with the plaque that goes on the wall and I am giving you a copy of what was up for you to look at. Bolduc asked each member to make a note on what they want.

**6. Next Meeting:** The next meeting is May 16<sup>th</sup> 2016 at 4:00pm at the SAU office.

**7. Adjournment:** A motion made by Dumont seconded by Gibson to adjourn. VOTE: Motion passed, unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 5:00pm.

Katie Krauss  
JBC Recording Secretary

Pending Board Approval